

ECFS - Email Filing

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>02/08/2005

<NAME>C N

<ADDRESS1>1206 10th Ave SW

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY>Humboldt

<STATE>IA

<ZIP>

<LAW-FIRM>

<ATTORNEY>

<FILE-NUMBER>

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC

<PHONE-NUMBER>

<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL>candy_store69@hotmail.com

<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable. A great reason why we chose to not have a monthly wireless payment. It is a great option for those that already cannot afford the monthly bill. Please do not ruin it for those that are not financially able to afford it.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair! Why is it that the low to middle income people are continually having to foot the bill for large business. When is this going to STOP?? You are supposed to be taking care of those low to middle income people, not punishing them or trying to collect more and more out of them for things that they do not use in excess of others. It seems very "political" and "corporate".

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

C N

1206 10th Ave SW

Humboldt, Iowa 50548